UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/668,643 | 09/23/2003 | Mark Trocki | CT/00-001.CIP.D1 | 2044 |
| 21140 GREGORY L I | 7590 05/09/2008 BRADLEY | | EXAMINER | |
| MEDRAD INC | | | MACNEILL, ELIZABETH | |
| ONE MEDRAD DRIVE INDIANOLA, PA 15051 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3767 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 05/09/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/668,643 | TROCKI ET AL. | | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | ELIZABETH R. MACNEILL | 3767 | | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) 又 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ma | arch 2008. | | | | | |
| · | | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| ′= | ·— | | secution as to the merits is | | | | |
| ٠,١ | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| | | | 0 0.0.2.0. | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)🛛 | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11,14 and 22-24</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)🖂 | Claim(s) <u>1-11,14 and 22-24</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| · · | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| • | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | | |
| / | ` / | · | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) 🔲 ' | The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | | |
| 10) | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce | epted or b) objected to by the E | Examiner. | | | | |
| <i>,</i> — | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti | • | • • | | | | |
| 11)□ | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | | ` ' | | | | |
| •— | • | | , | | | | |
| Priority u | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notic 3) Inforr | t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other: | te | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/668,643 Page 2

Art Unit: 3767

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 2. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Hitchins et al (US 5,944,694).

Hitchins teaches a syringe for use with an injector comprising a body (50'), a plunger (15), an attachment member (62') at the rearward end of the body; and a rotation member comprising a recess (just distal of 170') formed in the body for retaining a corresponding mechanism on the injector (118). See Fig 7A.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-11 and 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Reilly et al (US 5,779,675) in view of Hitchins et al (US 5,944,694).

 Reilly et al teaches a syringe for use with an injector comprising a body (118), a plunger (26), an attachment member (126) at the frontward end of the body; and a rotation member comprising a recess (120) formed in the body for retaining a corresponding

mechanism on the injector (133). See Fig 11. The attachment member is an annular ridge (126) which is also a projection or tab member. See Fig 10. Regarding claims 10 and 11, depending on the orientation of the powerhead of the injector, the attachment member could be moved in either an axial or a vertical direction.

Reilly et al does not teach an encoding device located on the body of the syringe. Hitchins teaches a syringe body (50) for use with an injector (20) where the syringe includes coding (190,192). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the known technique of an encoding ring on a syringe with the device of Reilly in order to provide an indication of the medication contained with the syringe or the size of the syringe, for example.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-11,14, and 22-24 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

1. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 10/668,643 Page 4

Art Unit: 3767

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ELIZABETH R. MACNEILL whose telephone number is (571)272-9970. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:30 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Sirmons can be reached on (571) 272-4965. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ERM

/Kevin C. Sirmons/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3767 Application/Control Number: 10/668,643

Page 5

Art Unit: 3767